

I am incensed by the decision of Sinclair Broadcasting's to force their stations to air blatantly anti-Kerry propoganda just days before the election.

I also believe that this is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation. Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. Is this serving the public interest or is it serving Sinclair Broadcasting's political bias?

These actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard.

Thank you.